

UNITED ST. SES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09	/399,080 09	9/17/99	GRIFFIN		J	4426-	38	
					EXAMINER			
NORMAN E LEHRER			QM12/0131	GETZ	ow,s			
1005 NORTH KINGS HWY		A		RT UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
СН	ERRY HILL NJ	08034			3762		2	
٠.٠٠.		*#	and the state of the second	:	DATE MAILED; 1/31/01			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

-d.s.	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/399,080	GRIFFIN ET AL						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Scott Getzow	3762						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	16 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	,							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapp	proved.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
All and the second of the seco								
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
S. Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/399,080 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schulte et al '205 in view of Griffin '965.

Schulte teaches a catheter which has three defibrillation electrodes thereon, as well as pacing/sensing electrodes, see especially figure 13. Griffin teaches the use of electrode arrays in a atrial defibrillation catheter. Such arrays have been shown in the prior art to be effective for defibrillation, and can allow for customization of the defibrillation waveform pulse in ways that a solid defibrillation electrode cannot. Further, spacing of the arrays on a catheter is a function of the size of the patient's heart, and therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would realize that a variety of spacings is encompassed by the disclosure of the above cited patents.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ideker et al 5553 in view of Griffin '965.

It would have been obvious to use electrode array type electrodes, as shown by Griffin, in place of the defibrillation electrodes of Ideker for reasons mentioned supra.

Application/Control	Number:	09/399	080
rppiication Control	TAGIIIOCI.	07/377	,000

Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997.

smg

January 25, 2001